

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CONTROL SIGNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 459 P.O. Box 459 Alexandri, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/559,206	04/26/2000	Daniel Manhung Wong	OR00-01101	1513
51067	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION			TO, BAOQUOC N	
c/o A. RICHA 2820 FIFTH S			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA		2162		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/559,206	WONG, DANIEL MANHUNG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Baoquoc N. To	2162					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/10	D/2005.						
·	action is non-final.						
,	,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-9,11-13,15-17,19-21,23-26,28</u>	-29,31-32 and 34 is/are pending	in the application	ı .				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-9,11-13,15-17,19-21,23-26,28,29,31,32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			I Stane				
application from the International Bureau	•	iu iii tiiis Mationa	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/30/2005</u> .	6) Other:	Phone and ()	·,				

Application/Control Number: 09/559,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/24/2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11-13, 15-17, 19-21, 23-26, 28-29, 31-32 and 34 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 12-13, 15-17, 20-21, 23-26, 28-29, 31-32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier et al (US. Patent No. 5,625,815) in view of Green et al. (US. Patent No. 6,041,310).

Application/Control Number: 09/559,206

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding on claims 1, 9, and 17, Maier teaches method for selectively auditing accesses to a relational database, comprising:

receiving a query for the relational database [col. 3, lines 65-67];

processing the modified query to produce a query result [col. 6, lines 35-39],

wherein

processing the modified query includes, creating the audit record for rows in relational tables that are accessed by the query and that satisfy the auditing condition [col. 8, lines 28-29], and

recording the audit record in an audit record store [col. 4, line 12]; and returning the query result [col. 6, lines 35-39].

Maier does not explicitly teach selectively auditing the access the query, wherein selectively auditing the access involves automatically modifying the query prior to processing the query, wherein processing the query causes an audits to be created and recorded only for rows in relational tables accessed by the query and satisfying the auditing condition, wherein the auditing conditions specifies a condition based on a value of a field in a row in the relational database, and wherein satisfying the auditing condition allows selective auditing of the query. Maier teaches, "any new audit record in the audit trail 204 associated with the first object 200 are accessed and corresponding to the redo operation are performed against the second object 202" (col. 7, lines 8-11). This teaches the new audit record is creating records for rows. In addition, Maier also teaches, "an AuditTrail filter is establish such that only AuditTrail records that pertain to the Old Partition are received for processing (by an Audit Fixup Process started by the

SQL catalog manager). The processing of each audit record that passes the filter is called and "audit fixup" (col. 8, lines 35-40). This teaches the AuditTrial filter is the auditing condition. On the other hand, the method of modifying the query prior processing to redirect the query to perform the different request is known in the art. For example, Green discloses, "first, if non of the readership inventory matches the customer query exactly, terminal processor 10a automatically modifies the primary query to create the second query so that at least one vehicle is displayed" (col. 10, lines 63-66). This teaches modifying the query. Addition, accessing the record based on the values in the query in order to satisfy the request is in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Green into Maier because automatically modifying query would allow the system to adapt to any changes and efficiently retrieve or create the results according to the changes.

Regarding on claims 5, 13 and 21, Maier teaches the query modifies at least one entry in the relational database, using a relational database system trigger to create and record the audit record for the modification to the relational database [col. 4, lines 10-12].

Regarding on claims 7, 15 and 23, Maier teaches the audit record includes: a user name for a user making the query [col. 6, line 4]; a time stamp specifying a time of the query [col. 6, lines 4-10]; and a text of the query [col. 6, lines 15-18].

Regarding on claims 8, 16 and 24, Maier teaches the auditing condition includes a condition for a field within the relational database [col. 5, lines 2-3].

Claims 26, 29 and 32 claimed the same concepts as to claims 1, 9, and 17; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason as to claims 1, 9 and 17.

Claims 28, 31 and 34 are the similar to claim 8, 16 and 24; therefore, they are rejected under the same conditions with 8, 16 and 24.

4. Claims 3, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier et al (US. Patent No. 5,625,815) in view of Green et al. (US. Patent No. 6,064,951) and further in view of Cochrane et al. (US. Patent No. 6,041,310).

Regarding on claims 3, 11, and 19, Cochrane teaches ensuring that the case statement is evaluated near the end of the query processing so that the case statement is evaluated only after other conditions of the query are satisfied, so that the audit record is created only for rows that are actually accessed by the query (col. 8, lines 55-60).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Art Unit: 2162

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(571) –273-8300

[Official Communication]

BQ To

March 3rd, 2006